

**Manjit Misra (Chair)**, Seed Science Center, Iowa State University

**Paul Gepts**, University of California, Davis

**Tim Johnson**, Smith College Botanic Garden

**Sarada Krishnan**, Denver Botanic Gardens

**Kevin McCluskey**, Fungal Genetics Stock Center, Kansas State University

**James McFerson**, Washington State University Tree Fruit Research and Extension Center

**Terry Tiersch**, Aquatic Germplasm and Genetic Resources Center, Louisiana State University

**Stephen Smith**, Iowa State University

**Terry Williams**, Tulalip Tribes Natural Resources Dept.



## THE NATIONAL GENETICS RESOURCES ADVISORY COUNCIL (NGRAC)

**NPGCC Meeting**

**June 1, 2017**



# What We Do



- Advise the Secretary of Agriculture and Director of the National Genetic Resources Program (NGRP) on the activities, policies, and operation of NGRP.
- Scope includes acquisition, preservation, access, evaluation, characterization, distribution, and exchange of genetic resources of life forms important to American agriculture; plants, forest species, animals, aquatics, insects, and microbes.
- NGRAC shall make recommendations to ensure that these essential resources are adequately conserved and appropriately accessible in order to address current and future agricultural needs.
- NGRAC is also to advise on research needs for genetic resources, on coordination of NGRP with similar domestic activities, and on policies—both international and domestic—regarding access and exchange of genetic resources for the public's benefit.



**First meeting in March, 2013.**

**Then, monthly teleconferences and 1 or 2 in-person meetings annually**

- Identified major issues and needs in plant genetic resources
- Strongly encouraged the Secretary of Agriculture to seek ratification of the International Treaty for Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture by the U.S. Senate
- At the request of Secretary of Agriculture, identified the principal players, problems and solutions at different stages of the seed development process **for response to AC21 recommendations**

## Systems Approach for response to AC 21 recommendations



We examined issues at each of the following stages of germplasm use:

- Uncharacterized germplasm for breeding
- Characterized germplasm for breeding
- New inbred lines and varieties in the appropriate form including Foundation seed, which is the first generation multiplication of breeder's seed
- Seed for farmers
- Harvested products for processors and consumers

## Crop Focus



We focused on 8 major crops that currently have GE varieties available in the U.S.:

- Corn, Soybean, Cotton, Canola, Alfalfa, Sugar Beet, Squash, and Papaya
- Similar issues apply to future GE crops

## **Area 1. Ongoing evaluation of the pool of commercially available non-GE and organic seed varieties.**

***Recommendation 1** – USDA should encourage and facilitate seed producers to provide information on the available pool of appropriate organic and non-GE seed.*

***Recommendation 2** – USDA should work with plant breeders and other seed providers to increase the availability of organic and non-GE germplasm.*



## Area 1. Ongoing evaluation of the pool of commercially available non-GE and organic seed varieties.

*Recommendation 3 – USDA should commission a study on the release and availability of inbred lines and varieties developed at public universities in order to determine the extent to which they deliver well adapted crop genetics for different agricultural systems. This should include an assessment of the unintended impacts of the Bayh-Dole Act on public sector capacity to serve all agriculture.*



## Area 2. Identify market needs for producers serving GE-sensitive markets.

***Recommendation 4**—USDA should conduct an ongoing economic assessment of non-GE and organic seed markets to allow stakeholders to better understand the value and plan investment opportunities in the seed sector. Market demands for organic and non-GE should be identified by crop for each of the crops affected by commercial GE trait adoption by region, acreage, maturity and adaptation.*





### **Area 3. Ensure that a diverse and high quality commercial seed supply exists that meets the needs of all farmers.**

***Recommendation 5**—USDA should convene regular roundtables with balanced representation by all stakeholders on extending GE trait stewardship to encompass prevention and mitigation of adventitious presence in non-GE breeding programs and gene banks.*

***Recommendation 6** – To facilitate coexistence and maintain stewardship, USDA should work with and encourage industry to develop and provide low cost assays of GE traits.*



### **Area 3. Ensure that a diverse and high quality commercial seed supply exists that meets the needs of all farmers.**

***Recommendation 7**—The NGRAC encourages USDA to promote diversity in agriculture by devoting additional resources to genotyping, phenotyping, evaluation, breeding and/or pre-breeding. USDA should facilitate more public, private, and/or tribal partnerships in developing, characterizing, and evaluating genetic resources from the NPGS and non-U.S. sources adapted to U.S. growing conditions. Further assessment is needed for developing, characterizing, and evaluating tribal genetic resources.*



## **Area 3. Ensure that a diverse and high quality commercial seed supply exists that meets the needs of all farmers.**

***Recommendation 8**—USDA should identify gaps in genetic diversity and/or passport information, including samples or accessions with known use restriction issues, and remedy those omissions by additional collection or documentation.*

***Recommendation 9**—USDA should communicate to State seed foundations and the American Seed Trade Association (ASTA) members the importance and need for inbred lines and foundation seeds that are not treated with chemicals prohibited by USDA National Organic Program.*



**After response to AC21, the Council has been engaged in thinking broadly about supporting genetic resources infrastructure needs and benefits to users. So, discussions were held on the following major issues:**

- Crop Genetic Vulnerability
- Animal Genetics Conservation
- Aquatic Genetic Efforts in ARS
- Tribal Issues and Genetic Resources



**Summary:** Actions for addressing crop vulnerability and supporting genetic resources infrastructure.

- A new NGRAC Crop Vulnerability Subcommittee was formed to recommend how to enhance the baseline crop genetic vulnerability data. The subcommittee has drafted a letter which is being mailed to the Crop Genetic Committees for input.
- A new Animal Genetics Subcommittee was formed to present the larger view of the program and its needs. The subcommittee is working to provide the needs and opportunities for animal and aquatic genetic resources.

**NEXT MEETING IN JULY 2017** in Kansas City to finalize the recommendations of these two subcommittees and discuss other major issues including tribal concerns



Excellent support is provided by Ex-Officio members, additional experts and NAREEE Board:

**Michele Esch**, Executive Director,  
NAREEE Advisory Board

**Shirley Morgan-Jordan**, NAREEE  
Board Program Support Coordinator

**Dr. Peter Bretting**, National Program  
Leader, USDA Agricultural Research  
Service

**Preston Hardison**, Watershed  
Resource Analyst, Office of Treaty  
Rights, Tulalip Tribes

**Harvey Blackburn**, Coordinator,  
National Animal Germplasm Program,  
USDA Agricultural Research Service

**Ann Marie Thro**, Senior Advisor,  
Office of the Chief Scientist



## National Genetics Resource Advisory Council (NGRAC)



**Thank you! Questions?**