

Agenda Item 1.0

**2017 ESCOP/APS Joint Session
1:45 PM – 3:00 PM (Washington 5)
and
ESCOP Executive Committee Business Meeting Agenda
3:45 PM – 5:00 PM (Wilson C)
Monday, November 13, 2017
Marriott Wardman Park
Washington, DC**

ESCOP Agenda Item	Time	Description	Presenters
	1:45 pm – 3:00 pm	Joint session with APS (Washington 5)	
	1:45 pm – 1:50 pm	Introduction: Joint APS/ESS Collaboration	Wendy Fink and Rick Rhodes
	1:50 pm – 2:10 pm	Southern Success Stories: Joint APS/ESS Collaborations	Susan Sumner and Saied Mostaghimi
	2:10 pm – 3:00 pm	APS/ESS Collaboration: The Future	Tracy Hoover and Gary Thompson
	3:00 pm – 3:45 pm	Break	
	3:45 pm – 5:00 pm	ESCOP Executive Committee Business Meeting (Wilson C)	
1.0	3:45 pm – 3:50 pm	Call to Order <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approve Agenda • Interim Actions 	Gary Thompson
2.0	3:50 pm – 4:00 pm	Committee Reports and Updates	
		2.1 Policy Board of Directors 2.2 Communications & Marketing Committee 2.3 Science & Technology Committee 2.4 Budget & Legislative Committee 2.5 Diversity Catalyst Committee 2.6 Impact Database Subcommittee 2.7 Joint COPS 2.8 Other	Gary Thompson and Eric Young Rick Rhodes Jeff Jacobsen Bill Brown Jeff Jacobsen Bill Brown Rick Rhodes
3.0	4:00 pm – 4:10 pm	Advocacy Update	Hunt Shipman
4.0	4:10 pm – 4:25 pm	International Agriculture Section Engagement <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amrit Bart 	Gary Thompson
5.0	4:25 pm – 5:00 pm	ESCOP Strategic Direction – 2018 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One Organization, One Voice 	Gary Thompson

Agenda Item 1.1

ESCOP Summer Meeting
July 18, 2017, 1:30 PM – 5:00 PM
Delta Lodge at Kananaskis
Kananaskis Village, Alberta, Canada
Minutes

Participants:

Bret Hess	Eric Young
Mike Harrington	Parag Chitnis
Bill Brown	Glenda Humiston
Dennis Calvin	Bob Godfrey
Conrad Bonsi	Valerie Giddings
Marikis Alvarez	Lloyd Walker
Shirley Hyman-Parker	Archie Clutter
Alton Thompson	Sarah Lupis
Rick Rhoades	Jeff Jacobsen
Gary Thompson	Hunt Shipman

Action Items for Follow-Up:

- **3/6/17 Minutes:** Minutes from 3/6/17 were unanimously approved.
- **ESS Budget:** Sarah Lupis will work with APLU to update the budget summary for the fall meeting and include a bottom line total.
- **NRSP Review Committee:** A new ECOP representative is needed. Dennis will work with Fred to appoint a new representative.
- **Science & Technology Committee:** This body seconded the motion to approve the revised guidelines for the Excellence in Multistate Research Award. This seconded motion will go to a vote during the Fall business meeting.
- **NIMSS/Multistate Communications:** The group asked that Rick, Sarah, and Jeff develop a proposal to rebrand the multistate research fund projects/impact communication program to present at the Fall Meeting.
- **SoAR's Retaking the Field:** Bret, Mike, and Sarah will follow up with SoAR and start the application process with the intention to submit one story per topic area.
- **Breakthroughs 2030:** Jeff and the S&T will work on plugging into Breakthroughs 2030
- **NC-FAR Lunch & Learn:** Bret, Mike, and Sarah will work to find stories and submit a proposal to NC-FAR.
- **Ag Exhibit on the Hill:** Mike and Sarah will work together with the regional EDs to find stories and develop a proposal and submit it to organizers. There will be a call for proposals in the spring with likely very short turnaround.
- **Ag Outlook Forum:** Mike Harrington and Rick Rhoades will work with Rick Klemme (ECOP) and Jeanette Thurston (NIFA) to get LGU scientists onto relevant panels or create a panel.

Agenda Item	Description	Presenter	Discussion & Action Items
1.0	Welcome and introductions	Bret Hess	
2.0	Approval of Minutes, March 6, 2017 meeting	Bret Hess	Minutes from 3/6/17 http://www.escop.info/docs/Spring%202017%20ESCOP%20Meeting_Minutes_FINAL_20160316.pdf were unanimously approved.
3.0	Interim Actions	Bret Hess	Budget summary was presented; between January and mid-July, ESCOP spent a total of \$19,434. Next year, NC-FAR membership should be included in budget. <i>Sarah Lupis will work with APLU to update the budget summary for the fall meeting and include a bottom line total.</i>

ESS BUDGET UPDATE, 7/11/17

Item	Approved Budget (10/1/16 – 9/30/17)	Spent (01/01/17 – present)
APLU Awards Booklet/Program	\$5,000	\$0
Diversity Task Force		
ESCOP Training	\$5,000	\$2,582
2017 ESS Meeting	\$10,000	
APLU/AAVMC Antibiotic Resistance Task Force Manager	\$7,000	\$0
ESCOP Website	\$10,000	\$9,192*
Impact Database	\$10,000	\$0
Impact Communications	\$5,000	\$1,660
NC-FAR**	\$0	\$1,000
Breakthrough 2030 Report**	\$0	\$5,000

4.0	NIFA Liaison	Parag Chitnis	<p>NIFA has done well in the last few years compared to other agencies. Details are what matters. Congress has largely ignored the President when it comes to Ag Research.</p> <p>For Time and Effort Reporting: a 2-page brief was distributed earlier today via email and is attached here. There are still questions about matching and leveraged funds. Feds seem to be saying that matching funds are also “federal dollars.” Proposal writing cannot be done on federal grant dollars so sometimes FTE has to be worked around. Examples</p>
-----	--------------	---------------	--

			<p>of systems that passed T&E audits would be useful. NIH, for example, has a different interpretation of the same OMB guidelines. Small schools have 100% Hatch funded faculty; most others don't. Extension can match with county and state funding.</p> <p>ESCOP Engagement in the Budget Process: NIFA wants our input. Capacity, AFRI, and minority-serving institutions are NIFA priorities and these match well with our 6 lines. Start with protecting these or enhancing them, depending on what's available. Working on the hill is the strength of the university partners and what helped minimize cuts.</p> <p>State Liaison Program: NIFA will soon be asking for input from us on our expectations for the role of the state liaison.</p> <p>Grant Structure/Size: In the Spring, NIFA will focus on AFRI—what do you really need to see with RFAs, grants, etc. This information will be posted on line.</p>
5.0	Farm Bill Update	Hunt Shipman (Cornerstone)	<p>A motion on Land-Grant Status was presented, developed by CARET earlier this week. APLU has a formal position on this from the last time a new LGU was designated. Congressional Agricultural Committee staff conversations have centered on states' rights and that will be the argument we face going forward. The Morrell Act is clear that LGU status comes from state authority. This issue would gain traction among the Libertarian crowd—in that this is not something the federal government should get involved in; other than the Nevada delegation, there are not likely to be other states who are aware or particularly concerned. A middle ground might be a restatement that states can designate as many LGUs as they'd like, but the dollars received won't change. There was concern among the group that advancing this position would raise awareness in state legislators, pit urban and rural constituents, and LGU vs. non-LGU grads. <i>There is support for the existing CLP policy position; this language seems to be very similar; ESCOP will not adopt the motion.</i></p>
6.0	NRSP-RC Report	Clarence Watson/Eric Young	<p>A new ECOP representative is needed. <i>Dennis will work with Fred to appoint a new representative.</i></p>

7.0	S&T Guidelines Approval	Marikas Alvariz	This body seconded the motion to approve these guidelines. <i>This seconded motion will go to a vote during the Fall business meeting.</i>
8.0	ESCOP Communications: 8.1 CMC/AgIsAmerica 8.2 Impact Database 8.3 MRF Impact Reporting 8.4 NIMSS/MRF branding 8.5 ESCOP Website demo	Rick Rhodes Bill Brown Sarah Lupis Sarah Lupis Jeff Jacobsen/Eric Young	<p>Kglobal and Cornerstone depend on Directors to disseminate and distribute information and mobilize your followers. Does elevating the status of the CMC help to get better alignment of priorities and initiatives?</p> <p>National Impact Database is in a period of evaluation and transition; the priority is focused on improving the quality of the material in the database. It is likely that temporary funding will be needed to “clean up” existing submissions. The Committee will bring recommendations to the Fall Meeting.</p> <p><i>The group asked that Rick, Sarah, and Jeff develop a proposal to rebrand the multistate research fund projects/impact communication program to present at the Fall Meeting.</i></p>
			<p>Policy Board Proposal to Elevate CMC from a ECOP/ESCOP standing committee to a permanent committee of the Policy Board (which would require a change in the rules of operation). It could also be a task force or ad-hoc under the BAC (appointed by the Chair, ratified by the Board; the difference between a standing committee and a task force is that a task force must be reappointed each year). There would be no difference in cost (ad hoc vs. standing). This would give it a higher level of recognition and visibility, and administratively incorporate AHS. There was some discussion about having the role of CMC be targeted at advocacy. What’s not working?-->CMCs efforts are intended to support what the BAC is doing so how can that happen more effectively. The two pronged approach of federal advocacy and grassroots education are still vitally important; could be an opportunity for better integration. There is a need for communication beyond advocacy. There is also a need to better incorporate the technical expertise of communications professionals. Concerns that elevation would actually make it more obscure. Should it be equal to the BAC? The current CMC Chair (Bev Durgan) is in favor of this move. There was some discussion of creating a task force to evaluate overall communications efforts, goals, and integrated approaches.</p>

9.0	ESCOP contributions to Retaking the Field	Bret Hess	The next Retaking the Field report (http://supportagresearch.org/retakingthefield/) will cover three topics: Food Safety, Nutrition, and One Health (zoonotic disease). Proposed finding Multistate projects with AFRI funding from each of the three areas. AFRI supported and research supported by capacity funds. There are several stories already written that can be used as a starting point. \$3000 for each story that gets approved. Bret, Mike, and Sarah will follow up with SoAR and start the application process with the intention to submit one story per topic area.
10.0	Participation in Breakthroughs 2030 discussion panels (https://foundatyonfar.org/research/breakthroughs-2030-study/)	Bret Hess	Invasive species, water, climate, healthy foods, food security, systems optimization, integration of R&D, and interdisciplinary convergence. Our opportunity is to engage university scientists at the panel level. We can also participate during panel discussions, being part of the town hall (Aug 8 th , D.C.), and engaging via IdeaBuzz: https://ideabuzz.com/a/buzz/nasem/science-breakthroughs-2030 . Jeff and the S&T will work on plugging into Breakthroughs 2030
11.0	NCFAR Lunch and Learn (http://www.ncfar.org/Hill_Seminar_Series.asp)	Bret Hess	Pest management? Water? Nutrition and Health? Infrastructure—timely? FFAR could never done this if the infrastructure wasn't in place—greenhouses, etc. were already in place. Private dollars are great, but they can't do anything without the infrastructure and capacity. "Capacity makes us competitive and infrastructure makes it possible." Find examples of how we've leveraged infrastructure to capitalize on. UC-Riverside citrus research...dilapidated buildings, contaminated soil. The Citrus Research Board invested several million into Level-4 Biosecurity Greenhouses and they will own it until citrus greening is solved. Bret, Mike, and Sarah will work to find stories and submit a proposal to NC-FAR. https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSfIW4fnp0fXaJOHjulQPkQQbEBjxtmWxUYtq1Ahlwje-UGDXw/viewform?formkey=dFJrc2w1c0RQcG1ZLTk2MFppbUU5Tmc6MQ#qid=2 .
12.0	Ag Exhibit on the Hill – 2018	Bret Hess	In the past, institutions have submitted proposals. Organizations can also do this. Mike and Sarah will work together with the regional EDs to find stories and develop a proposal and submit it to organizers. There will be a call for proposals in the spring with likely very short turnaround.

13.0	Joint NIFA-NRCS-ESCOP-ECOP Leadership meeting	Bret Hess/Jeff Jacobsen	Integration between NIFA-NRCS-ESCOP-ECOP is needed. On August 17 th , NIFA will meet with ESCOP, ECOP, NRCS to look for regional or local best practices where integration is working well. Jeff Jacobsen and Robin Shepard will support this effort. Each region has or will be providing a recommendation for a member to participate in this meeting. ECOP has developed some approaches to continuing this conversation on training, packaging soils research, creating centers of excellence, and integration of existing programs. In the 2008 Farm Bill, technical service providers were created— Cooperative Extension should be the preferred technical service provider. Also, the Technical Service Guide—peer reviewed LGU science should be expedited into the updating of those materials. There will be a report on this during the Fall Meeting.
14.0	Ag Outlook Forum (see https://www.usda.gov/oce/forum / for this year's topics	Bret Hess	The Ag Outlook Forum is an annual NIFA-sponsored event where ag leaders come in from all over the nation. Commodity Groups meeting. Ag lobbyists attend. The Secretary gives a state of the “union” talk. What topic should we present on with ECOP? Suggestions include: Water, antimicrobial resistance, workforce development (get people on as many panels as possible), bio-based economy (sun grant, etc.) with rural prosperity, soil with other agencies. <i>Mike Harrington and Rick Rhoades will work with Rick Klemme (ECOP) and Jeanette Thurston (NIFA) to get LGU scientists onto relevant panels or create a panel.</i>
15.0	Next Joint Meeting with ECOP and NEDA	All	Do we want to meet with ECOP and NEDA in 2019? North Central extension (Dennis Calvin) would be in charge of logistics. What are our desired outcomes? For the 2016 meeting, getting to know one another and our funding priorities.
16.0	ESCOP Chair Nomination	NCRA	Nominations have been solicited and accepted. Two nominations have been received. An election will be held next week. This will come as a seconded motion from the region at the Fall Meeting.
17.0	Policy Board	Gary Thompson	BAA Public Values Statement: Developed during last years' Joint COPS. Has been reviewed and approved by the CMC. Any concerns? PDB wants to move on this this week. Some would like to see a commitment to diversity and inclusiveness included in the statement. Diversity Presentations: Should we take on the diversity education role? What are the sections

			doing? The group asked to table it until the Fall Meeting to allow time for further discussion. Academic programs has been working on this for some time.
18.0	ESS Annual Meeting	Gary Thompson	Theme: A Question of Balance. Registration and hotel information are now available: http://www.cvent.com/d/75qccv and https://aws.passkey.com/event/16386689/owner/6973/home

Consent Agenda Items

- 19.1 Budget and Legislative Committee Update
- 19.2 Science and Technology Committee Update
- 19.3 ESCOP Chair Report from DC
- 19.4 Diversity Catalyst Committee Update

Positioning the Communications and Marketing Committee

October 31, 2017

Working group: Bev Durgan, Faith Peppers, Gary Thompson and Rick Rhodes

The Charge:

The Policy Board of Directors (PBD) of the Board on Agriculture Assembly (BAA) commissioned a small working group to assess strategies to increase the effectiveness of the Communications and Marketing Committee. In that charge, the PBD asked the working group to consider alternative lines of reporting and models of organization of the CMC. The working group considered six organizational models. Herein, we make a recommendation on the preferred models.

Recommendation:

The working group recommends that the CMC be appointed immediately as an ad hoc committee of the BAA with a goal of establishing the CMC as a standing committee of the BAA within one year of its appointment as an ad hoc committee.

Further, we recommend that the BAA develop a funding mechanism to provide a budget for the CMC. Currently, the CMC has an annual budget of \$400,000 funded by an equal assessment (\$133,333) to the Administrative Heads (AHS), ECOP and ESCOP.

Background:

The communications and marketing project started as an ESCOP initiative dedicated to marketing and advocacy. This grew from a white paper, *Marketing the SAES – A Background Paper on Marketing the SAES* written by Dave MacKenzie, Executive Director – NERA, early in the 2000s. The marketing and advocacy initiative culminated in the establishment of an ESCOP standing committee (Communications and Marketing) and development of a communications and marketing strategy (2008.) In 2012, ECOP joined with ESCOP on the CMC with a goal to oversee and guide a coordinated and targeted educational effort to increase awareness of the Agricultural Experiment Stations and Cooperative Extension Services. In 2013 and 2014, the cost of the project was \$400,000 annually and was split equally between ECOP and ESCOP. Leadership of the committee was shared between the Experiment Station Section and the Cooperative Extension Section; the long-term outcome of the communications and marketing project was to seek increases in federal funding to competitive and capacity lines. In 2014, the administrative heads (AHS, collectively represented by Ian Maw) joined the effort. Again, the membership of the committee changed to reflect the supporting divisions and the annual operating budget was split evenly between AHS, ECOP, and ESCOP.

In 2015, the first Plan of Work (CMC, 2016 Plan of Work) was adopted. The plan included a statement that defined the CMC as the *policy-making body that oversees the development, implementation and effectiveness of the targeted educational efforts, including coordination with the APLU Board on Agriculture Assembly, kglobal and Cornerstone Government Affairs*. One of the goals

of the plan was the development of *ways to coordinate activities with the BAA and its committees including the Budget and Advocacy Committee (BAC) and the Committee on Legislation and Policy (CLP)*. A year later, the 2017 CMC Plan of Work, evolved to state explicitly that the communications and marketing plan (CMP) would focus on BAA initiatives. That included the “One Ask”, Water, Healthy Food Systems and Infrastructure.

While the CMC represents the interests of the sections (AHS, CES and ESS) and, therefore looks like a standing committee of BAA; in actuality, the CMC is a standing committee of ESCOP. The CMC has outgrown its current model of organization and now seeks a means to clearly and closely integrate activities with the oversight organization of AHS, ECOP and ESCOP: the BAA. Equally important, the CMC seeks greater activation of its constituent organizations and partners during calls to action. If effective coordination of the CMC with the BAA, BAC, CLP and institutional partners is to be successfully accomplished, then the reporting lines of the committee must be clearly established.

Once the reporting line is established, then the membership of the committee should be evaluated as a means to optimize the CMC’s operating efficiency.

Models of organization:

Recommended Models

The CMC is appointed as a standing committee of the BAA

Advantages

- Opportunity for close coordination with the BAA.
- Elevates level of responsibility.
- Clear line of organizational oversight.
- Means to assist in unified messaging.

Disadvantages

- Requires 2/3 vote by the membership.

The CMC is appointed as an ad hoc committee (or task force) of the BAA

Advantages

- Quick fix.
- Opportunity for close coordination with the BAA.
- Elevates level of responsibility.
- Clear line of organizational oversight.
- Means to assist in unified messaging.

Disadvantages

- Requires appointing the committee on an annual basis, chance of high turnover rate of committee members.

Agenda Item 2.4

ESCOP Budget and Legislative Committee Agenda Brief

Presenters: Bill Brown and Mike Harrington

For information only

The committee holds regular conference calls on the last Tuesday of each month. These calls have generally been well attended. The current B&L Committee membership is shown below.

<p>Chair: Bill Brown (UTK)</p> <p>Delegates: Alton Thompson (ARD) Bobby Phils (ARD) David Benfield (NCRA) Ernie Minton* NCRA Tim Phipps (NERA) Jon Wraith (NERA) George Hopper (SAAESD) Saied Mostaghimi (SAAESD) Jim Moyer (WAAESD) Glenda Humiston (WAAESD)</p> <p>Executive Vice- Chair Mike Harrington (WAAESD)</p>	<p>Liaisons</p> <p>Doug Steele (ECOP Liaison) Bob Holland (NIFA) Paula Geiger (NIFA) Josh Stull (NIFA) Glen Hoffsis (APLU Vet Med) Eddie Gouge (APLU) Ian Maw (APLU) Becky Walth (CARET) Cheryl Achterberg (APLU - BoHS) Jim Richards (Cornerstone) Hunt Shipman (Cornerstone) Vernie Hubert (Cornerstone) Jeremy Witte (Cornerstone)</p> <p>*Chair elect</p>
--	---

Recent discussions focused on the SoAR letter to Congress and Time and Effort reporting.

T&E Reporting: The new requirements as specified in the Uniform Guidance were discussed with Maggie Ewell NIFA-OFGM. NIFA has released a final [factsheet](#) on T&E reporting that has been distributed to B&L member and to Directors at the ESS Annual Meeting. Discussions have also occurred with regional business officers at their respective fall meetings. In earlier drafts, the use of federal funds to support grant writing was prohibited. However, the final document makes no mention of using federal funds for proposal writing. Other background information in the Federal Regulation indicates that full time for a non-hourly employee is defined as 40 hr/week. There is also conflicting language indicating at federal funds may indeed be used to support grant writing. NIFA is seeking clarification on these points.

Agenda Item 2.5

National Experiment Station Section Diversity and Inclusion Award¹

Beginning in 2015 with the establishment of the ESCOP Diversity in Research Leadership Task Force (now the permanent Diversity Catalyst Committee), the Experiment Station Section (ESS as AES and ARD) forged a new commitment to increase diversity across its constituencies and foster inclusive environments which empower all groups within organizations to work better collectively. **Diversity** is defined as differences among people with respect to age, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, gender, physical and mental ability, race, sexual orientation, religion and spiritual practices and other human differences. An **inclusive organization** is defined as having a culture which empowers all members to continually innovate, assess and redesign programs, policies and practices to support the success of the full range of its membership. ESS through its individual and collective efforts aspires to be a futuristic body that consistently and holistically models and practices inclusive excellence.

The National Experiment Station Section Diversity and Inclusion Award support efforts that go beyond simply meeting EEO/AA program requirements. This award recognizes research efforts that support the creation of diverse and pluralistic teams at the local, state, regional, or national level. Such efforts could impact one or more of the following areas: administration, advisory and decision-making groups, audiences, coalitions, educational materials and delivery methods, funding, initiatives, policies, programs, staff, and stakeholders.

Award Presentation

The recipient(s) of the National Experiment Station Section Diversity and Inclusion Award will be recognized at the annual AES/SAES/ARD Meeting held in September/October each year with a commemorative plaque and \$1,000 cash award from ESCOP. Travel reimbursement to attend the awards event will be provided for the primary recipient(s). The recipient(s) will be asked to submit photos and a project summary for the ESCOP website, the NIFA Update and for integration into the APLU Award Program. The awardees will also be asked to submit an impact statement for the landgrantimpacts.org database which describes research impacts to the public.

Eligibility

The nominee can be an individual or a team or organization composed of ESS faculty and scientists, staff, students or post-docs. An ESS faculty or scientist is defined as having at least 25 percent FTE university AES or ARD appointment as of May 1st of the year of the nomination and responsibility for AES or AR programming for a minimum of four consecutive years.

Criteria for Nominations

Nominations can be submitted from any area of ESS. Nominations can be made by anyone, including self-nominations. When writing nominations, special attention should be given to efforts that have the potential to be sustained over time or can be replicated in other comparable situations.

The six following elements (total 100 points) will be considered in the review process and should be described clearly in the nomination.

¹ This award nomination was adopted from the Extension Diversity Award and is being used with the permission of ECOP.

Purpose: Why was this effort undertaken? Describe the efforts by a person, group or organization to achieve diversity/pluralism in an ESS project/program (e.g., Hatch, Hatch Multistate, Evans-Allen, McIntire-Stennis.) How does the project achieve pluralism with its advisory and decision-making groups, audiences, staff, and stakeholders? (Maximum 10 points)

Basis: Why is this effort worthy of recognition? (Maximum 10 points)

Effort: Are actions and activities in support of diversity appropriate and fundamentally sound? How do the actions and activities demonstrate impact? (Maximum 20 points)

Impact: Have efforts led to positive, sustainable programmatic and/or organizational change? (Maximum 30 points)

Scope: How broadly did (or likely will) this effort effect the Experiment Station Section? (Maximum 20 points)

Innovation: How did (or will) this effort enhance existing models or create new or models for positive change? (Maximum 10 points)

Nomination Package Guidelines

Nominations must not exceed word limits below, and must contain the following elements:

1. Name, title, address, phone number and e-mail of nominee(s).
2. Name, title, address, phone number and e-mail of nominator(s).
3. A brief synopsis of nomination (30 words or less).
4. A narrative explaining the six elements in the criteria given above (400 words or less per element).

Limitations

Incomplete applications or applications in excess of size limitations will not be considered. Please do not forward DVD's, bound publications or other support materials with the nomination. Only electronic submissions will be considered. Nominations can include links to supplemental materials that clearly demonstrate one of more of the nomination elements.

Selection Process

An Award Review Panel² is appointed by the ESCOP Diversity Catalyst Executive Committee to review nominations and recommend the recipient to the ESCOP Chair and NIFA Director. The process would be completed by XXXX.

Due Date

The due date for nominations is XXXXX. To be considered, nominations must be submitted online at: www.XXXX.XXX.

² Suggested makeup for the inaugural Award Review Panel: 3-5 members, such as one Director, a NIFA representative, a diversity and inclusion professional, a representative from an allied organization, a faculty member designated by a director who has demonstrated commitment to diversity and inclusion. In future years, the previous award recipient could serve this capacity as the faculty representative.

Agenda Item 2.7

Joint COPs July 17-19, 2017 Kananaskis, Canada

Monday, July 17

8-noon ECOP Meeting

1-3:00 ECOP Meeting

3:30-5:00 ECOP Personnel Committee
ECOP Program Committee

5-7:00 pm Welcome Reception

7-8:00 BAA Leadership Meeting

Tuesday, July 18

7:30-noon Joint Session

12-1:30 Lunch with speaker

1:30-5:00 COP Meetings

6-9:00 Dinner

Wednesday, July 19

7:30-noon Joint Session

Noon-5 pm PBD Meeting

Joint COPs July 16-18, 2018 Guadalajara, Mexico

Monday, July 16

8-noon ECOP Meeting

1-3:00 ECOP Meeting

3:30-5:00 ECOP Personnel Committee
ECOP Program Committee
(Would need to find different time)

~~7-8:00 BAA Leadership Meeting~~

3:15 Board Buses to Mexican event/Dinner (University hosting us)

4:00-9pm Event plus Dinner

Tuesday, July 17

7:30-noon Joint Session

12-1:30 Lunch with speaker

1:30-5:00 COP Meetings

6-9:00 Dinner

Wednesday, July 18

7:30-noon Joint Session

Noon-5 pm PBD Meeting

Thursday, July 19

Optional Tequila Tour

or

Wednesday, July 18

Tequila Tour

Thursday, July 19

7:30-noon Joint Session

Noon-5 pm PBD Meeting